

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction -----	7	Megachilidae -----	262
Structure of the check list -----	9	Megaspilidae -----	272
Original Orthography -----	11	Melittidae -----	273
Species and genus group names indices ----	12	Mutillidae -----	274
Structure of the database -----	12	Mymaridae -----	280
Ending date of the list -----	13	Ormyridae -----	282
Methodology and Quality Control -----	13	Orussidae -----	283
Hymenoptera -----	15	Oxaeidae -----	283
Alternative family names -----	17	Pamphiliidae -----	283
Statistics -----	19	Peleciniidae -----	284
Classification -----	21	Pergidae -----	285
Agaonidae -----	36	Perilampidae -----	285
Anaxyelidae -----	36	Platygastridae -----	286
Andrenidae -----	36	Pompilidae -----	289
Anthophoridae -----	51	Proctotrupidae -----	296
Aphelinidae -----	64	Pteromalidae -----	297
Apidae -----	66	Rhopalosomatidae -----	308
Argidae -----	68	Roproniidae -----	309
Aulacidae -----	70	Sapygidae -----	309
Bethyidae -----	70	Scelionidae -----	309
Braconidae -----	73	Sclerogibbidae -----	314
Bradynobaenidae -----	104	Scoliidae -----	314
Cephalidae -----	104	Sierolomorphidae -----	315
Ceraphronidae -----	105	Signiphoridae -----	315
Chalcididae -----	106	Siricidae -----	316
Charipidae -----	108	Sphecidae -----	316
Chrysididae -----	109	Stephanidae -----	339
Cimbicidae -----	114	Tanaostigmatidae -----	340
Colletidae -----	114	Tenthredinidae -----	340
Cynipidae -----	117	Tetracampidae -----	358
Diapriidae -----	126	Tiphiidae -----	359
Diprionidae -----	130	Torymidae -----	362
Dryinidae -----	131	Trichogrammatidae -----	365
Elasmidae -----	133	Trigonalyidae -----	366
Embolemidae -----	134	Vanhorniidae -----	366
Encyrtidae -----	134	Vespidae -----	367
Eucharitidae -----	142	Xiphidriidae -----	374
Eucoilidae -----	143	Xyelidae -----	374
Eulophidae -----	144	Hymenoptera Generic Index -----	376
Eupelmidae -----	155	Hymenoptera Species Index -----	431
Eurytomidae -----	157	Mecoptera -----	715
Evaniidae -----	160	Statistics -----	717
Figitidae -----	161	Alternative Family Names -----	717
Formicidae -----	162	Classification -----	717
Gasteruptiidae -----	178	Bittacidae -----	717
Halictidae -----	178	Boreidae -----	717
Heloridae -----	185	Meropeidae -----	717
Ibaliidae -----	185	Panorpidae -----	718
Ichneumonidae -----	186	Panorpididae -----	718
Leucospidae -----	261	Mecoptera Generic Index -----	718
Liopteridae -----	262	Mecoptera Species Index -----	718
		Megaloptera -----	721
		Classification -----	723

Corydalidae -----	723	Trichoptera Generic Index -----	774
Sialidae -----	723	Trichoptera Species Index -----	778
Megaloptera Generic Index -----	723		
Megaloptera Species Index -----	724		
Neuroptera -----	725		
Classification -----	727		
Alternative Family Names -----	728		
Statistics -----	728		
Ascalaphidae -----	728		
Berothidae -----	728		
Chrysopidae -----	728		
Coniopterygidae -----	730		
Dilaridae -----	731		
Hemerobiidae -----	731		
Ithonidae -----	733		
Mantispidae -----	733		
Myrmeleontidae -----	733		
Polystoechotidae -----	735		
Sisyridae -----	735		
Neuroptera Generic Index -----	736		
Neuroptera Species Index -----	738		
Raphidioptera -----	745		
Introduction -----	747		
Inocelliidae -----	747		
Raphidiidae -----	747		
Raphidioptera Generic Index -----	747		
Raphidioptera Species Index -----	747		
Trichoptera -----	749		
Alternative Family Names -----	751		
Statistics -----	751		
Classification -----	752		
Arctopsychidae -----	754		
Beraeidae -----	754		
Brachycentridae -----	754		
Calamoceratidae -----	754		
Glossosomatidae -----	755		
Goeridae -----	756		
Helicopsychidae -----	756		
Hydrobiosidae -----	756		
Hydropsychidae -----	756		
Hydroptilidae -----	758		
Lepidostomatidae -----	761		
Leptoceridae -----	761		
Limnephilidae -----	763		
Molannidae -----	767		
Odontoceridae -----	768		
Philopotamidae -----	768		
Phryganeidae -----	769		
Polycentropodidae -----	769		
Psychomyiidae -----	770		
Rhyacophilidae -----	770		
Sericostomatidae -----	772		
Uenoidae -----	772		
Xiphocentronidae -----	773		

INTRODUCTION

A *thing* is defined as much by what it isn't as by what it is. *Nomina Insecta Nearctica* is not a catalog. Nor is it even a check list by conventional standards. Rather *Nomina Insecta Nearctica* is a directory of the scientific names applied to the insects of North America. These names include senior synonyms, junior synonyms, junior homonyms, unavailable names (in the sense of the Code of Zoological Nomenclature), and in some cases misspellings and misidentifications. Junior synonyms, homonyms, and so forth, are arranged chronologically under the valid species name. All other levels of organization are alphabetical. Species are arranged alphabetically under the current generic name. Genera are alphabetical within families, and families are arranged alphabetically within orders.

Nomina Insecta Nearctica is not an original piece of scientific research. It is a compilation of the current literature, a snapshot of the current published status of the classification. This check list contains no new combinations or synonymies. If one genus has been synonymized with another, but no formal combinations were made for each of the included species, a principle of implied combinations is used. Specifically these new combinations are credited to the original synonymizer of the generic name by implication even if no formal new combinations were made. No objective replacement names are proposed even for clearly identified junior homonyms. Homonyms without an available replacement name are indicated by brackets. Further clearly wrong arrangements of junior and senior synonyms in the literature are not corrected. For example if a younger name is listed in the literature as the valid name for a species in preference to an older name, the synonymy is given as recorded, although indicated by a question mark. This happens more commonly than you might think.

Although *Nomina Insecta Nearctica* contains the word Nearctic, a more proper definition of the region covered by this publication is North America north of the Mexican border. Significant portions of Mexico are properly part of the Nearctic region. The check list has been

limited to north of the Mexican border for practical reasons. Adding the Nearctic portions of Mexico to the list would have made the compilation of the list considerably more difficult, if not nearly impossible. The Mexican border has been used as the standard boundary in the majority of publications from which this list was compiled. Many users of this list will find a politically based division more useful than the more scientific one. Finally the division between the Nearctic and Neotropical zones is nebulous at best, both in the United States and Mexico and the Antillies. Mexico is considered to be entirely Neotropical for purely practical reasons and will be so treated in any future portion of the *Nomina* series covering the Neotropical insect fauna.

Nomina Insecta Nearctica has very specific and limited goals. The ultimate ideal of every systematist is to create a network of printed publications and computer databases containing all known information about every described species in the world. The first step in attaining this Holy Grail of systematics is a listing of the species of the world and of the names that have been applied to them. The *Nomina* series is such a first step and *Nomina Insecta Nearctica* is the part covering the insects of North America, the dominant component (perhaps as much as three-fourths) of the flora and fauna of the Nearctic region.

The *Nomina* series has two guiding principles: Stability and utility. Complete stability, of course, is impossible in any developing science, such as systematics, and is not even completely desirable. On the other hand systematics must also consider the needs of the users of systematic systems. Systematics does not happen in isolation nor are systematists the final users of the systems proposed. Therefore the *Nomina* series will approach classifications from a conservative position and will not adopt major changes in the classification of a group unless or until it meets one or more of two criteria. Is the change necessary to break up polyphyletic or paraphyletic groupings? Has the change gained general acceptance within the systematic **and** user communities? Users of systematic systems tend to concentrate on primary levels of classification; order, family, genus and species. An unfortunate tendency exists these days to

break up already monophyletic order, family, and generic concepts into smaller and smaller groups. The usual reason given for such subdivision of existing and utilized groupings is that the new groupings more accurately reflect the evolutionary history of the group. It is the opinion of the editors of the *Nomina* series that the concepts of superfamily, subfamily, tribe, and subgenus exist for just this purpose. We suggest that these subordinate categories be used for refinement of the classification and that order, family, and generic concepts be kept as stable as possible for the user community. The splitting of primary groups will not be followed unless they satisfy one of the two criteria listed above.

Nomina Insecta Nearctica employs only primary groups; Class, Order, Family, Genus, and Species. Subordinate groupings such as Superfamily, Subfamily, Tribe, Subgenus, and Subspecies are not listed in the main body of the directory, although subordinate categories to the subfamily level are given in the classification portion of this publication. Names originally proposed, or currently treated, as subgenera or subspecies are treated as simple synonyms. The absence of subordinate categories in the body of the check list, particularly subgenera and subspecies, is not a statement, positive or negative, about the utility of such categories.

Subgeneric names, in particular, are an important component of the classifications of parts of the Hymenoptera, particularly the families of bees. The editors of the *Nomina* series are not using subgeneric names for a variety of reasons:

1. The addition of subgeneric names would have added a level of complexity to the compilation of the series we were unwilling and unable to deal with.
2. The inclusion would also make the purely alphabetical arrangement of names difficult if not impossible.
3. Subgeneric names are not used consistently within the Insecta. These names are used heavily in some groups, but not at all in others. Even within groups some authors use subgeneric names and others do not.
4. Subgeneric names are sometimes not used consistently between geographical regions. Although a consistent synonymy of subgeneric names on a world-wide basis exists for some groups, in others there appears to be separate sets of subgeneric

names by biogeographical region.

5. A corollary of point 4 is the increased difficulty of creating an integrated classification of the insects on a world-wide basis, the ultimate goal of the *Nomina Insecta* series. Although this integration of classifications problem occurs on the generic level as well, the addition of subgeneric names would increase the difficulty of this task by an order of magnitude.
6. The editors of the *Nomina* series feel that an informal system of species groups and species complexes serves the same purpose as subgeneric names without introducing the complexity of formally proposed scientific names.

An accurate representation of the evolutionary history of a group is *not* among the limited goals of the *Nomina* series nor should it be. Other publications and databases exist, or should exist, for this purpose. The compilers of *Nomina Insecta Nearctica* are authorities only in their own limited groups and could never satisfactorily produce a phylogenetically arranged list. Secondly the addition of subordinate categories would have materially lengthened each volume in the series when the volumes are already enormous. Although information about subordinate categories and phylogenetic arrangements is not given in either the printed version or in the CD-ROM accompanying the series, references to these categories and arrangements can be often be found in the source field of the database contained on the CD-ROM. The source field is discussed later in the introductory material.

Both printed and computer versions of the *Nomina Insecta Nearctica* database are being produced for practical reasons. Printed publications and computer databases have different and complimentary strengths and weaknesses. A computer database is readily and quickly searched for information. A series of CD-ROMS or online databases occupies far less space than a series of printed volumes (if one ignores the space taken by the computer). A printed publication, on the other hand, is far more portable and easier to use for checking specific names or curating collections. A printed publication is easier on the eyes, is easier to learn to use, and just "feels" better. Some of the weaknesses of "searchability" of the printed publication can be made up for with a thorough

indexing of the material such as we have attempted in the printed version.

Each order in this volume is divided into three main parts. The first part contains a synopsis of the current classification of the order to the subfamily level with genera listed alphabetically within each subfamily. Higher categories are listed in "phylogenetic order", the source or sources of the order given in the introduction to this section of the check list. The second section composes the check list proper with an alphabetical arrangement of families within the order, genera within each family, and species within each genus. The final portion of the volume consists of two indices. The first index comprises all of the genus group names and where to find them. The second index contains the species group names and their location in the check list. Because of the alphabetical arrangement employed in the series, no page numbers are used in the index. Rather for any species group name one finds the family in the body of the list by searching the page footers, then finding the genus, and then finding the valid species. More details on the index are given later in the introductory material.

STRUCTURE OF THE CHECK LIST

The structure of the check list is very simple. The list consists of the primary check list followed by two indexes. All names are arranged alphabetically in the two indices. The body of the check list is arranged alphabetically by family. The genera are listed alphabetically within the family, and the valid species names alphabetically within genera. Junior synonyms, junior homonyms, unavailable names, misspellings, and so forth are listed chronologically under the valid species. A valid species is defined as the senior synonym for a species. A typical portion of the check list, in this case from the Proctotrupidae (Hymenoptera), is:

Proctotrupes Latreille 1796
Serphus Schrank 1780 Unav.
Erodorus Walckenaer 1802 Syn.
Proctrupes Rafinesque 1815 Emend.
Eriodorus Agassiz 1846 Emend.
Proctotrypes Agassiz 1846 Emend.
Proctotropis Gistel 1848 Emend.

Proctotripes Provancher 1883 Missp.

Proctotrupes bistriatus Möller 1882 (Proctotrupes)
Disognus pubescens Kieffer 1906 Syn.
Proctotrypes florissantensis Rohwer 1909 Syn.
Serphus cockerelli Brues 1919 Syn.
Serphus debilis Brues 1919 Syn.
Serphus sequoiarum Brues 1919 Syn.
Serphus niger Tomsik 1944 Syn.
Proctotrupes caudatus Say 1824 (Proctotrupes)
Proctotrypes crenulatus Patton 1879 Syn.
Proctotrypes longiusculus Brues 1909 Syn.
Proctotrupes gravidator Linnaeus 1758 (Ichneumon)
Proctotrupes meridionalis Gribodo 1880 Syn.
Proctotrupes rufigaster Provancher 1881 Syn.
Proctotrupes collaris Szepligetii 1901 Syn.
Serphus petiolaris Kieffer 1908 Syn.
Serphus nigrescens Kieffer 1908 Syn.
Serphus indivisus Kieffer 1908 Syn.
Serphus collaris Kieffer 1908 Homo.
Proctotrupes suzukii Matsumura 1912 Syn.
Serphus ruficollis Kieffer 1914 Syn.
Serphus zabriskiei Brues 1919 Syn.
Serphus antennalis Tomsik 1944 Syn.

The genus begins with the valid generic name followed by a chronological listing in italics of the junior synonyms, junior homonyms, emendations, and so forth, for the genus. The genus, in this case, *Proctotrupes*, is followed by the junior synonyms *Serphus* Schrank (rejected by the ICZN), *Erodorus* Walckenaer, *Proctrupes* Rafinesque (an emendation), *Eriodorus* Agassiz (an emendation), *Proctotrypes* Agassiz (an emendation), and *Proctotropis* Gistel (an emendation). The list is completed with the misspelling "Proctotripes" of Provancher. All genus group names are listed without indication of whether or not the genus group name was originally or subsequently treated as a subgenus. If a genus group name was originally proposed as a subgenus, this information is available in the CD-ROM version of the check list if this information was readily available to the compilers of the database. The abbreviation at the end of each synonymy gives the current status of the name.

Syn. - A junior synonym

Homo. - A junior homonym. Specific details about the nature of the homonymy are contained in the database and will be available on the CD-ROM version of the check list.

Unav. - An unavailable name, either because the name is a *nomen nudum* or in some other way fails to satisfy the requirements of the Code of Zoological Nomenclature. Specific reasons for the

unavailability of the name are contained in the database and will be available on the CD-ROM version of the check list. A generic name may also be listed as Unav. if the name has been suppressed by the ICZN in favor of a younger name.

- Emend.** - An emendation. Original orthography is utilized throughout this check list. By the standards of this check list there is no such thing as a justifiable emendation. Emendations are available names. Specific details about the emendation are contained in the database and will be available on the CD-ROM version of the check list.
- Missp.** - A misspelling. Misspellings are not available names. Specific information about misspellings are contained in the database and will be available on the CD-ROM version of the check list.
- Misid.** - A misidentification. Misidentifications are almost never used in the generic listing, but the possibility is kept for cases requiring them for clarity.

The species group names follow a similar structure. The valid species names are listed alphabetically under the genus name. Junior synonyms, homonyms, emendations, and so forth, are listed chronologically under the valid species name. All species group names are listed as binomials even if the species group name was originally proposed as a subspecific or infrasubspecific category such as subspecies, form, variety, or aberration. The original status of these subspecific and infrasubspecific names is listed in the source field of the database and will be available in the CD-ROM version of the check list. The listing for the valid species *Proctotrupes gravidator* is:

Proctotrupes gravidator Linnaeus 1758 (Ichneumon)
Proctotrupes meridionalis Gribodo 1880 Syn.
Proctotrupes rufigaster Provancher 1881 Syn.
Proctotrupes collaris Szepligetii 1901 Syn.
Serphus petiolaris Kieffer 1908 Syn.
Serphus nigrescens Kieffer 1908 Syn.
Serphus indivisus Kieffer 1908 Syn.
Serphus collaris Kieffer 1908 Homo.
Proctotrupes suzukii Matsumura 1912 Syn.
Serphus ruficollis Kieffer 1914 Syn.
Serphus zabriskiei Brues 1919 Syn.
Serphus antennalis Tomsik 1944 Syn.

The valid name is listed first and in plain text. Junior synonyms, homonyms, and so forth, are in

italics, indented, and listed chronologically below the valid species group name. Unavailable names are listed chronologically below the valid species name if the unavailable name has been identified with the valid species. Unavailable names which have not been identified as a particular species but are considered to belong to a particular genus are listed alphabetically at the end of the generic listing, each unavailable name bracketed to indicate its unknown status.

The valid species group name consists of the current generic name, the species group name, the author, the date of publication, and followed by the original generic name in parentheses. Any field in a name not in the database at the time this check list was published is indicated by the notation [no entry]. Although an effort has been made to eliminate all of these [no entry] fields, a few remain because of either time constraints or the unavailability of the literature needed to find the information.

The species group name for both valid names and subordinate names uses original orthography, i.e. the original spelling as used by the author in the original description. The names have not been changed to agree in number and gender with the current generic assignment. For example if the original description listed the name as *Anthicus albus* Jones 1912 and *albus* was later transferred to the genus *Striata*, the name would be still be listed as *Striata albus* Jones 1912 (*Anthicus*). Original orthography is also used for all subordinate names. More will be said about the reasons for using original orthography in a later section of the introduction. The check list, however, supplies all of the information necessary to make the changes in endings if the user so wishes.

Junior synonyms, homonyms, unavailable names, and so forth, are listed chronologically under the valid species name and consist of the the original generic name, species group name, authors, and date. The date given is the actual date of publication. The convention of listing both the purported and actual date of publication, if different, is not used in this check list. The name is followed by an abbreviation indicating the status of the name. These abbreviations are essentially the same as those employed for genus group names.

Syn. - The name is a junior synonym of the valid name. In some cases the abbreviation Syn. may be followed by a question mark in parentheses. The question mark signifies an older species group name than the listed valid name and for which no reason was found in the sources used in compiling this list for its synonymy. Although an effort was made to resolve these problems, some remain and can probably be traced to one of several causes. The name may be a junior homonym but was not listed as such in any of the sources examined by the compilers. The name may be a misidentification.

The systematic literature is plagued with misidentifications listed but not identified as misidentifications. The compilers have tried to eliminate as many of these as possible, but some still remain unidentified. The name may have been treated as a *nomen oblitum* by the author or authors of the source used in compiling the name, but not specifically stated as such. Finally sometimes the authors of the sources used just didn't want to use the older name. We emphasize that this list is a compilation. Therefore we have not tried to correct these synonymies and simply use the (?) convention to denote the problem. Only workers in the group involved are qualified to make these decisions.

Homo. - The name is a junior homonym. A junior homonym may be a junior primary homonym, a junior secondary homonym, and a former junior secondary homonym. These three types of homonymy cannot be distinguished in the printed check list. However, the database usually contains this information and it will be available on the CD-ROM version of the check list.

Emend. - An emendation of a species group name. Original orthography is employed throughout this list. Therefore for the purposes of this check list, there is no such thing as a justified emendation even if the original spelling is patently incorrect. Emendations are available names.

Missp. - A misspelling of a species group name. Misspellings are listed in this check list when they were readily available and

identifiable in the sources used during compilation. No special effort, however, was made to find misspellings.

Unav. - The name is unavailable in the sense of the Code of Zoological Nomenclature. Several reasons can exist for a name to be unavailable. Most commonly the name is a *nomen nudum* or infrasubspecific.

Misid. - The name is a misidentification. This category is rarely used in this list and only where absolutely necessary to clarify a particular situation. Listing all misidentifications would be an exercise in futility in any case.

Nomob. - *Nomen oblitum*. In those cases where the oldest name for a species is listed as a junior synonym and has been treated by the author of the source used by the compilers as a *nomen oblitum*, and if he or she clearly indicated this, the abbreviation Nomob. is used.

ORIGINAL ORTHOGRAPHY

Original orthography has been employed throughout this list whenever possible. Two reasons are advanced for using original orthography.

1. In the opinion of the compilers of the *Nomina* series, computers will come to play an ever increasing role in keeping track of biosystematic information. The changing of specific endings to reflect the number and gender of the current generic assignment is destabilizing and difficult to keep track of in a computer database such as the one employed in compiling the check lists of the *Nomina* series. In short computers do not speak Latin nor is there any simple way to make them. A critical element in designing a relational database or distributed database is the choice of a primary field for joining tables in the relationship. None of the standard categories such as genus or species is sufficient. However the combination of the original generic and the species name as originally spelled is invariant over time. For example a field such as `ogenus_species` where `ogenus` is the original generic name, `species` is the species group name,

and the character " _ " merely serves to join the two names into a single name does not change with time. Moreover any valid species has one and only one ogenus_species because of the laws of homonymy. The name is invariant with time and will not change regardless of later shifts in generic assignment.

2. Changes in the endings of species group names to reflect the number and gender of the current generic assignment of the species has an esthetic value for those brought up speaking a romance language. However, one of the two compilers of the database sees no scientific value in such changes. In addition professional systematists often disagree about the correct endings of species group names. This complication can be avoided by simply not worrying about it. Never the less, if one wishes to conform to the current number and gender provisions of the Code of Zoological Nomenclature, the check list contains all of the information necessary to make such changes. Changes in specific endings to reflect number and gender are not emendations in the sense of the Code of Zoological Nomenclature.

SPECIES AND GENUS GROUP NAMES INDICES

A typical sample from an index of species group names is as follows:

acutus Denning Homophylax (Limnephilidae) Homophylax
acutus Vineyard and Wiggins Neophylax (Uenoidae)
Neophylax
adapus Ross Limnephilus (Limnephilidae) Limnephilus
adella Denning Chimarra (Philopotamidae) Chimarra
adelus Ross *Brachycentrus (Brachycentridae)*
Brachycentrus nigrosoma
ademus Ross Limnephilus (Limnephilidae) Limnephilus
adironica Banks *Plectrocnemia (Polycentropodidae)*
Polycentropus crassicornis
adriana Denning Homophylax (Limnephilidae) Homophylax
adustus Banks *Limnephilus (Limnephilidae) Limnephilus*
partitus
aegerfasciella Chambers Clymene (Hydroptilidae)
Orthotrichia
aegrota Burmeister *Phryganea (Phryganeidae) Agrypnia*
pagetana
aeneum Hagen Macronema (Calamoceratidae) Phylloicus

A valid species name is indicated by a regular font and a junior synonym, homonym, emendation, and so forth by italics. Use *Phylloicus aeneum* Hagen as an example. The

entry for a valid name begins with the species group name (aeneum) followed by the author or authors (Hagen), the original generic name (Macronema), the current family assignment in parentheses (Calamoceratidae), ending with the current generic assignment of the species (Phylloicus). To find this entry in the check list, go to the family in the alphabetical arrangement using the page footers, and then find the current genus in the alphabetical arrangement of genera.

A typical synonym is

adustus Banks *Limnephilus (Limnephilidae) Limnephilus*
partitus

The entry begins with the species group name (adustus) followed by the authors (Banks), the original generic name (Limnephilus), the family (Limnephilidae), the current generic assignment (Limnephilus) and the current valid name for this species (partitus). To find this synonymous name, simply find the current valid name, *Limnephilus partitus*, using the same alphabetical procedure given above.

The genus group name index works in the same way as the species group list except that for synonymous names only the valid genus name needs to be listed.

STRUCTURE OF THE DATABASE

Each species group and genus group name consists of a record in a computer database from which the information in this printed publication is drawn. A species group record contains the species name as originally spelled by the author of the name (original orthography), the author or authors of the name, the date of publication, the original generic name used by the describer of the species, and the current status of the name. If a name is the not valid name for a species, the valid name for the species is also listed. In this printed publication the subordinate status of a name is indicated by listing it in italics chronologically beneath the valid name for the species.

The database also includes a breakdown of the distribution of a species by biogeographical region. Biogeographical breakdown is not applicable to *Nomina Insecta Nearctica* because

all species occur in the Nearctic Region. Nor will further information on biogeographical regions be available in the accompanying CD-ROM because any further volumes in the *Nomina* series on insects will be compiled by biogeographical region. Any volume in the *Nomina* series covering a group small enough to be done in a single volume will contain information about occurrence by biogeographical region, the regions depending on whether the organism is terrestrial or marine.

Some fields of the database are internal to the functioning of the database (such as fields for creating relations between tables and a field denoting the current status of the editing process) and are not listed here. The final field in the database is a general listing of information called "source". The information in this field is not available in the printed publication, but will be given in the CD-ROM version of *Nomina Insecta Nearctica* released after completion of the published version. The source field contains specific information about the name in question. If the homonymy, e.g. if the name is a junior secondary homonym, what name is it a junior secondary homonym of. Secondly the source field contains a listing of the publication or publications used during the compilation of the database. This "source" might be a printed check list, revision, or any publication including the paper or book in which the name was originally described. The source field can often be used to track down further information about a name or species including its original description, subsequent papers about its biology or distribution, and the like. However the database is a check list and a compilation and is not intended to take the place of catalogs or databases specifically created to provide this type of information.

ENDING DATE FOR THE CHECK LIST

This check list claims to cover all names published prior to December of 1994. This cut-off date corresponds to volume 131 of the *Zoological Record*.

METHODOLOGY AND QUALITY CONTROL

Several people asked us, after the publication of volume 1 covering the Coleoptera and Strepsiptera, how the list was compiled and what sources were used for the names. The simple answer is that there is no simple answer, the methodology and sources changing from family to family, sometimes genus to genus. Literally thousands of source publications have been used as the source of names and their status throughout the compilation of the first two volumes of the *Nomina* series. The difficulty of the task and the resulting quality and completeness of the product depend on the thoroughness of the source materials available to us.

The basic procedure is to find the most recent or most complete catalog, check list, or revision for a group and to compile the first version of the database for this group from this publication. If this primary source is lacking some component of the database structure, e.g. original orthography or original generic name, these missing pieces are added by examining the original publications in which the names appeared or revisions in which this information is listed. The editors then work forward from the cut-off date of the primary source adding new species described or changes in generic status or synonymy published since the publication of the primary source. We rely extensively on the *Zoological Record*, but examine and compile from the original papers whenever possible. If no primary source is available for a group, the check list is compiled *de novo*.

The entomological community has been particularly fortunate to have a fairly recent and particularly well done catalog of the Hymenoptera of North America north of Mexico (see the Classification portion of the volume for a full reference to this catalog). The cut-off date for this reference varied slightly from family to family, but generally was about 1976. The database was compiled from this reference and the higher classification rectified to comply with current family concepts. Each family was then tracked from the cut-off date to 1995 using the *Zoological Record* and other resources, new species added, and changes in synonymy and

generic assignment made. Additions and changes in the database were made from the original references whenever possible. Few changes were needed in some families. Extensive changes and additions have occurred in other families. The families Ichneumonidae and Eulophidae come to mind in particular.

Every effort has been made to make the data in this directory has accurate and complete as possible. The compilers of the *Nomina Insecta Nearctica* series, however, are limited by two important constraints.

1. *Nomina Insecta Nearctica* is a compilation from the literature, not an original piece of scientific research. Although thousands of hours of were spent checking original descriptions in order to compile correct spellings and original generic names, this checking of original sources, could not be done for all, or even most, or the names listed. Ultimately the quality and accuracy of the list depends upon the sources used in compiling this list. Many of the errors encountered in these sources have been found and corrected during the compilation process, but many still remain. We also recognize that despite both direct and computer assisted proof reading, we will have committed our own mistakes. Entomological Information Services promises to correct such mistakes in the database whenever such mistakes are found or brought to our attention.

2. The *Nomina* series and its publisher, **Entomological Information Services**, is a commercial operation. The company receives no outside funds either public or private. **EIS** depends upon a rigid and rapid schedule of publication for its continued financial existence. Food must be bought and the mortgage paid. A leisurely search for perfection is not possible.

The compilers of *Nomina Insecta Nearctica* and the *Nomina* series will be extremely grateful to anyone sending us corrections of any errors in the check lists. These corrections will be immediately added to the database and incorporated in any future revisions. We also strongly encourage everyone to publish lists of corrections and additions to the list consistent with the goals of the series.

It is the intention of **Entomological Information Services** to continuously update the databases

used in creating the volumes of the *Nomina* series and to put out updated versions of the check lists when they are needed and financially viable. It would significantly assist us, and we would be extremely grateful, if authors could send us copies of their publications as they appear in print.

An lastly, among the many things *Nomina Insecta Nearctica* is not: it is not a Latin phrase. The proper Latin phrase is *Nomina Insectorum Nearcticae* (or at least we think it might be). The proper phrase does not exactly flow from the tongue and is not as informative as it could be. Therefore in the tradition of great taxonomists everywhere, we declare *Insecta* and *Nearctica* to be nouns in apposition. All letters, cards, e-mail messages, reviews, and other forms of communication correcting our Latin will be immediately recycled.

ALTERNATIVE FAMILY NAMES

The purpose of this section is to list some alternative family names the user might encounter in the Hymenoptera. This list is not an exhaustive list of family group names, but is only included for the convenience of the users of the check list. The abbreviation (NA) stands for not North American, i.e. the family is not found in the Nearctic region.

Agonidae

Alloxystidae (see Charipidae)

Ampulicidae (see Sphecidae)

Anaxyelidae**Andrenidae**

Anthidiidae (see Megachilidae)

Anthoboscidae (see Tiphidae)

Anthophoridae**Aphelinidae**

Aphidiidae (see Braconidae)

Apidae

(Apozygidae not NA)

Argidae

Astatidae (see Sphecidae)

Aulacidae

(Australiidae not NA)

Bethylidae

(Blasticotomidae not NA)

Braconidae**Bradynobaenidae****Cephidae****Ceraphronidae**

Ceratinidae (see Anthophoridae)

Chalcididae**Charipidae****Chrysididae****Cimbicidae****Colletidae**

Crabronidae (see Sphecidae)

Cretavidae (see Mutillidae)

(Ctenoplectridae not NA)

Cynipidae**Diapriidae****Diprionidae****Dryinidae****Elasmidae****Embolemidae****Encyrtidae**

Ephialtitidae (see Stephanidae)

Euceridae (see Anthophoridae)

Eucharitidae**Eucoilidae****Eulophidae**

Eumenidae (see Vespidae)

Eupelmidae**Eurytomidae****Evaniidae****Figitidae****Formicidae****Gasteruptionidae****Halictidae****Heloridae**

Heterogynaeidae (see Sphecidae)

Hybrizontidae (see Ichneumonidae)

Hylaeidae (see Colletidae)

Ibaliidae**Ichneumonidae**

Larridae (see Sphecidae)

Leucospididae**Liopteridae**

Masaridae (see Vespidae)

Megachilidae

(Megalodontidae not NA)

(Megalyridae not NA)

Megaspilidae**Melittidae**

Mellinidae (see Sphecidae)

(Monomachidae not NA)

Mutillidae**Mymaridae**

(Myrmarommatidae not NA)

Nomadidae (see Anthophoridae)

Nyssonidae (see Sphecidae)

Ormyridae**Orussidae****Oxaeidae****Pamphiliidae**

Paxylommatidae (see Ichneumonidae)

Peleciniidae

Pemphredonidae (see Sphecidae)

(Peradeniidae not NA)

Perigidae**Perilampidae**

Philanthidae (see Sphecidae)

Platygastridae

(Plumariidae not NA)

Pompilidae**Proctotrupidae**

Prosopidae (see Colletidae)

Pteromalidae

Rophitidae (see Halictidae)

Rhopalosomatidae**Roproniidae**

(Rotoitidae not NA)

Sapygidae**Scelionidae****Sclerogibbidae**

(Scolebythidae not NA)

Scoliidae**Sierolomorphidae****Signiphoridae****Siricidae****Sphecidae**

Sphecodidae (see Halictidae)

(**Stenotritidae** not NA)

Stephanidae

Syntexidae (see Anaxyelidae)

Tanaostigmatidae

Tenthredinidae

Tetracampidae

Thynnidae (see Tiphidae)

Tiphidae

Torymidae

Trichogrammatidae

Trigonalyidae

Vanhorniidae

Vespidae

Xiphydriidae

Xyelidae

Xylocopidae (see Anthophoridae)